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**Instructions:** Read through the Individual Written Argument paper of a peer and answer the following questions. Please keep in mind that the evidence for each answer may be found anywhere in the paper. Read the entire paper first before you attempt to complete the responses. When asked to provide evidence from the paper you may either type in the response from a hard copy of the paper or cut and paste the material from a shared digital copy of the paper.

**Understand and Analyze Context**

1. Does the paper establish a clear and purposeful connection between at least one of the source materials and the argument? Provide support for your answer from the paper.
2. Does the author establish a theme or connection between the two or more of the sources and their argument? Provide support for your answer from the paper.
3. Does the author maintain the connection between their argument and the source material throughout their paper? Provide support for your answer from the paper.
4. Are the source materials used in a purposeful way to advance the argument of the author? Provide support for your answer from the paper.

**Understand and Analyze Argument**

1. Does the paper establish the context for the research question in a meaningful way by situating it within an area of ongoing academic debate or ongoing problem? Provide support for your answer from the paper.
2. Does the paper make clear and purposeful connections between the sources and the wider context by offering discussion of how the evidence relates to implications for the research question or solution presented by the argument? Provide support for your answer from the paper.
3. Does the paper offer an evaluation of different perspectives on the debate or problem and/or solution by discussing objections, implications and limitations?

**Understand and Evaluate Perspective**

1. Does the paper clearly identify multiple perspectives related to the area of investigation? Identify the perspectives and list them here. Reminder: Individuals are not perspectives, they may be representative of a perspective.
2. Does the paper draw explicit connections among the distinct perspectives? Provide support for your answer from the paper.
3. Does the author address the complexity of the problem by comparing, interpreting, and drawing relevant connections between multiple, divergent, or contradictory perspectives? Provide support for your answer from the paper.

**Evaluate Sources and Evidence**

1. Does the paper include a variety of academic sources which represent multiple perspectives related to their line of inquiry? Provide support for your answer from the paper.
2. Does the paper effectively establish the credibility and relevancy of the sources used through careful selection and purposeful use of the sources to advance the argument? Provide support for your answer from the paper. (Two Examples)
3. Does the paper integrate the use of sources by making connections between the sources to establish that the perspectives are “in conversation” with one another or does it read like a list of sources that have little to no connection between sources? Provide support for your answer from the paper.

**Establish Argument**

1. Identify where the author establishes their line of reasoning for their argument. Provide support for your answer from the paper.
2. Identify where the paper supports the line of reasoning for the argument by listing the claims made by the author.
3. Provide examples for where the author effectively supports the claims presented with purposeful use of evidence from academic sources.
4. Does the author present detailed, plausible resolutions, conclusions, and/or solutions that full address the research question. Provide support for your answer from the paper.

**Apply Conventions**

1. Does the author correctly attribute information presented from sources with attributive language and the use of in text citation consistently throughout the paper?
2. Identify any instances where the citation of material may be mishandled or done inappropriately Provide any examples here.
3. Do all of the in text citations match with the sources listed in the bibliography of the paper? If not, please provide the evidence to help the author fix the mistake(s).

**Peer Evaluation**

* Identify three areas of strength for the paper. Provide specific evidence to support your claim.
* Identify one area for the author to improve upon in their next draft.